



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/777,915	77,915 02/05/2001		Robert R. Andrews	08261-017001	6193		
26161	7590	06/15/2005		EXAMINER			
FISH & RIC		ON PC	TRAN, BINH Q				
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT PAPER NUMB			
,				3748	3748		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>	<del></del>	Applicatio	n No	Applicant(s)					
•				ANDREWS ET AL.					
Office Action Summa	arv	09/777,91 Examiner	<b>-</b>	Art Unit					
TI MANUNO DATE (III		BINH Q. TI		3748					
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication app	ears on the	cover sneet with the c	orrespondence ad	aress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM  Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If the period for reply specified above is less tha If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.13 his communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply kimum statutory period w for reply will, by statute, months after the mailing	36(a). In no eve within the statu will apply and wil cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time lory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 24 M	arch 2005.							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This		on-final.						
3) Since this application is in co	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s)  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected. 8) Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdrav	wn from cor							
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected t 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	-		objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that a	•	•	=						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in			•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Nor 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified application from the Int * See the attached detailed Office	e of: oriority document oriority document copies of the prio ernational Burea	s have bee s have bee rity docume u (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage ·				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/04, 10/04,04/05.			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the amendment filed March 24, 2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brauer (Patent Number 5,951,543) in view of Eisel et al. (Eisel) (Patent Number 5,412,681).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Brauer discloses a cardiac laser surgery apparatus and method comprising: a sealed CO2 slab laser (e.g. 620), and a laser delivery system (e.g. See Figs. 5-6); wherein the laser providing pulses of adjustable length in time so as provide energy of between 8 and 10 Jules per pulse (e.g. See col. 10, lines 15-25); and the laser delivery system for delivering laser pulses from said laser to a patient's heart (e.g. See Figs. 5-6; col. 8, lines 65-67; cols. 9-10, lines 1-67). However he fails to disclose that the slab laser including two narrowly spaced electrodes having opposed planar surfaces and a rectangular discharge region defined between the opposed planar surfaces of the two narrowly spaced electrodes.

Eisel teaches that it is conventional in the art, to use a slab laser including two narrowly spaced electrodes having opposed planar surfaces and a rectangular discharge region defined Art Unit: 3748

between the opposed planar surfaces of the two narrowly spaced electrodes (e.g. See Abstract; Figs. 2-13; col. 5, lines 51-67; col. 6, lines 1-46).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to use a slab laser including two narrowly spaced electrodes having opposed planar surfaces and a rectangular discharge region defined between the opposed planar surfaces of the two narrowly spaced electrodes of Brauer, as taught by Eisel for the purpose of delivering laser pulses to the CO2 slab laser device; so as to increase the power and efficiency of the laser slab device during laser surgery of the patient's heart.

Regarding claims 2 and 7, Brauer further discloses that the laser delivery system includes a hand piece for delivering pulses to the outside of a patient's heart to provide openings in the patient's heart for myocardial revascularization (e.g. See Figs. 5-6; col. 8, lines 65-67; cols. 9-10, lines 1-67).

Regarding claims 3 and 8, Brauer further discloses that the pulses are shorter than 100 ms (e.g. See Figs. 5-6; col. 10, lines 15-67; col. 11, lines 34-63).

Regarding claims 4 and 9, Brauer further discloses that the laser delivery system is synchronized to the heart beat to fire when the heart is electrically insensitive to reduce the chanceofarrhythmia (e.g. See Figs. 5-6; col. 10, lines 15-67; col. 11, lines 34-63).

Regarding claims 5 and 10, Brauer further discloses that the laser starts firing on the R wave and stops before the T wave (e.g. See Figs. 5-6; col. 10, lines 15-67; col. 11, lines 1-63).

Art Unit: 3748

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 24, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not completely persuasive. *Claims 1-10 are pending*.

Applicant's cooperation in explaining the claims subject matter more specific to overcome the claim rejection is also appreciated.

Applicants' s arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as discussed above.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,915

Art Unit: 3748

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Binh Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4865. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Thomas E. Denion, can be reach on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications

and for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BT

June 10, 2005

Binh Q. Tran

Patent Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 3748